

JOHN COOPER, MAYOR

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

**METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY**

2/4/2021 | 10:43 AM CST

Chrisotpher Rhodes
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc
214 Oceanside Drive
Nashville, TN 37204

Re: **RFQ # 63188, On-Call Traffic Engineering Services**

Dear Mr. Rhodes:

The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County (Metro) has completed the evaluation of submitted solicitation offer(s) to the above RFQ # 63188 for On-Call Traffic Engineering Services. This letter hereby notifies you of Metro's intent to award to Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc, contingent upon successful contract negotiations. Please provide a certificate of Insurance indicating all applicable coverages within 15 business days of the receipt of this letter.

If the Equal Business Opportunity (EBO) Program requirements were a part of this solicitation, the awardee must forward a signed copy of the "Letter of Intent to Perform as Subcontractor/Subconsultant/Supplier/Joint Venture" for any minority/women-owned business enterprises included in the response to the Business Assistance Office within two business days from this notification.

Additionally, the awardee will be required to submit evidence of participation of and contractor's payment to all Small, Minority, and Women Owned Businesses participation in any resultant contract. This evidence shall be submitted monthly and include copies of subcontracts or purchase orders, the Prime Contractor's Application for Payment, or invoices, and cancelled checks or other supporting payment documents. Should you have any questions concerning this requirement, please contact Christopher Wood, BAO Representative, at 615-862-6710 or at Christopher.Wood@nashville.gov.

Depending on the file sizes, the responses to the procurement solicitation and supporting award documentation can be made available either by email, CD for pickup, or in person for inspection. If you desire to receive or review the documentation or have any questions, please contact Terri Ray by email at terri.ray@nashville.gov Monday through Friday between 8:30am and 3:30pm.

Thank you for participating in Metro's competitive procurement process.

Sincerely,

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane
Purchasing Agent

Cc: Solicitation File, Other Offerors

Pursuant to M.C.L. 4.36.010 Authority to resolve protested solicitations and awards.

A. Right to Protest. Any actual or prospective bidder, offeror or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the Purchasing Agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

Procurement Division

730 Second Avenue South, Suite 112
P.O. Box 196300
Nashville, Tennessee 37219-6300

www.Nashville.gov
Phone: 615-862-6180
Fax: 615-862-6179

RFQ 63199 On-Call Traffic Engineering Services

Scoring and Justification

<i>Offeror/Evaluation Criteria (Points)</i>	Management Plan and Approach (45 points)	Team Structure, Qualifications, and Capacity (35 Points)	Relevant Project Experience (20 points)	Total (100 Points)
AECOM Technical Services	38.0	32.0	18.0	88.0
ARCADIS	42.0	31.0	15.0	88.0
Barge Design Solutions, Inc	40.0	32.0	16.0	88.0
HDR Engineering Inc	36.0	31.0	16.0	83.0
HMB Professional Engineers, Inc	27.0	27.0	11.0	65.0
KCI Technologies, Inc	37.0	33.0	17.0	87.0
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	43.0	34.0	19.0	96.0
Ragan-Smith Associates, Inc	25.0	27.0	13.0	65.0
Stantec	37.0	30.0	16.0	83.0
The Corradino Group, Inc	35.0	28.0	15.0	78.0
TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc	23.0	20.0	10.0	53.0

AECOM Technical Services

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated a depth of relevant project experience of similar size, scope and complexity. Proposal demonstrated a team structure that has a depth of experience providing the scope of services. Proposal demonstrated innovation for intersection designs.

Weaknesses – Proposed key staff member no longer with AECOM. Proposal provided a general response to current litigations. Proposal lacked approach to innovation in emerging traffic engineering areas.

ARCADIS

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated relevant project experience related to connective vehicles and signal experience. Proposal demonstrated virtual meeting approach.

Weaknesses – Proposal failed to demonstrate relevant project experience in other scope of service areas. Proposal failed to demonstrate the depth of relevant project experience compared to other offerors. Proposed management plan and approach lacked detail compared to other offerors.

Barge Design Solutions, Inc

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated standard relevant project experience related to standard design projects. Proposal demonstrated a detailed management plan and approach.

Weaknesses – Proposal lacked relevant project experience details in emerging areas and technologies. Proposal lacked relevant project experience details compared to other offerors. Proposal lacked details related to how multiple projects would be handled. Proposal failed to provide percent allocation of some team members. Overall proposal lacked proofreading.

RFQ 63199 On-Call Traffic Engineering Services

Scoring and Justification

<i>Offeror/Evaluation Criteria (Points)</i>	Management Plan and Approach (45 points)	Team Structure, Qualifications, and Capacity (35 Points)	Relevant Project Experience (20 points)	Total (100 Points)
AECOM Technical Services	38.0	32.0	18.0	88.0
ARCADIS	42.0	31.0	15.0	88.0
Barge Design Solutions, Inc	40.0	32.0	16.0	88.0
HDR Engineering Inc	36.0	31.0	16.0	83.0
HMB Professional Engineers, Inc	27.0	27.0	11.0	65.0
KCI Technologies, Inc	37.0	33.0	17.0	87.0
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	43.0	34.0	19.0	96.0
Ragan-Smith Associates, Inc	25.0	27.0	13.0	65.0
Stantec	37.0	30.0	16.0	83.0
The Corradino Group, Inc	35.0	28.0	15.0	78.0
TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc	23.0	20.0	10.0	53.0

HDR Engineering Inc

Strengths - Proposal demonstrated comprehensive response and detailed for relevant project experience. Proposal demonstrated a strong team structure with adequate time allocation.

Weaknesses – Proposal demonstrated staff with innovative experience that was not local; Proposal lacked detail related to new project coordination. Proposal failed to demonstrate cost effectiveness of approach. Proposed approach to time to complete tasks lack detailed.

HMB Professional Engineers, Inc

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated large scale relevant project experience.

Weaknesses - Proposal lacked relevant project experience details compared to other offerors. Proposal failed to demonstrate how multiple project would be handled simultaneously. Proposed team structure lacked depth compared to other offerors. Proposed 20% availability for lead project manager. Proposed management plan and approach was generic and lacked specific details compared to other offerors.

KCI Technologies, Inc

Strengths – Proposed demonstrated relevant project experience for standard traffic engineering. Proposed team structure demonstrated sufficient experience and included three project managers. Proposed approach to standard traffic engineering was extremely detailed.

Weaknesses – Proposal lacked relevant project experience details in innovation, emerging areas and technologies. Proposed approach lacked information related to emerging technologies.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc

Strengths – Proposed relevant project experience was comprehensive and detailed specifically related to emerging technologies. Proposal demonstrated an approach to develop a connected automated vehicle readiness plan and roadmap. Proposal demonstrated approach and ideas to reduce costs by restructuring plan review process.

RFQ 63199 On-Call Traffic Engineering Services

Scoring and Justification

<i>Offeror/Evaluation Criteria (Points)</i>	Management Plan and Approach (45 points)	Team Structure, Qualifications, and Capacity (35 Points)	Relevant Project Experience (20 points)	Total (100 Points)
AECOM Technical Services	38.0	32.0	18.0	88.0
ARCADIS	42.0	31.0	15.0	88.0
Barge Design Solutions, Inc	40.0	32.0	16.0	88.0
HDR Engineering Inc	36.0	31.0	16.0	83.0
HMB Professional Engineers, Inc	27.0	27.0	11.0	65.0
KCI Technologies, Inc	37.0	33.0	17.0	87.0
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	43.0	34.0	19.0	96.0
Ragan-Smith Associates, Inc	25.0	27.0	13.0	65.0
Stantec	37.0	30.0	16.0	83.0
The Corradino Group, Inc	35.0	28.0	15.0	78.0
TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc	23.0	20.0	10.0	53.0

Weaknesses – Parts of overall proposal were difficult to read and follow. Proposed approach lacked information related to document management.

Ragan-Smith Associates, Inc

Strengths – Proposal demonstrated experience performing regular traffic engineering tasks including civil intersection and signal design. Proposed approach demonstrated focus on regular traffic engineering task. Proposal demonstrated experience and approach related to data collection.

Weaknesses – Proposal lacked relevant project experience details compared to other offerors. Proposed organizational chart does not align with tasks. Proposal failed to demonstrate how multiple projects would be handled simultaneously. Proposal lacked relevant project experience details in emerging areas and technologies. Proposed approach lacked details related to emerging technology and cost reduction.

Stantec

Strengths - Proposal demonstrated relevant project experience for standard traffic engineering.

Weaknesses – Proposal lacked relevant project experience details in innovation, emerging areas and technologies. Proposed approach lacked information related to emerging technologies. Proposed management plan and approach was generic and lacked specific details compared to other offerors.

The Corradino Group, Inc

Strengths - Proposal demonstrated relevant project experience for standard traffic engineering.

Weaknesses – Proposal lacked relevant project experience details in emerging areas and technologies. Relevant project experience proposal lacked details related to emerging technology. Proposed management plan and approach was generic and lacked specific details compared to other offerors.

RFQ 63199 On-Call Traffic Engineering Services

Scoring and Justification

<i>Offeror/Evaluation Criteria (Points)</i>	Management Plan and Approach (45 points)	Team Structure, Qualifications, and Capacity (35 Points)	Relevant Project Experience (20 points)	Total (100 Points)
AECOM Technical Services	38.0	32.0	18.0	88.0
ARCADIS	42.0	31.0	15.0	88.0
Barge Design Solutions, Inc	40.0	32.0	16.0	88.0
HDR Engineering Inc	36.0	31.0	16.0	83.0
HMB Professional Engineers, Inc	27.0	27.0	11.0	65.0
KCI Technologies, Inc	37.0	33.0	17.0	87.0
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	43.0	34.0	19.0	96.0
Ragan-Smith Associates, Inc	25.0	27.0	13.0	65.0
Stantec	37.0	30.0	16.0	83.0
The Corradino Group, Inc	35.0	28.0	15.0	78.0
TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc	23.0	20.0	10.0	53.0

TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc

Strengths - Proposal demonstrated relevant project experience for roadway design.

Weaknesses – Proposed relevant project experience lacked detailed compared to other offerors. Proposed relevant project experience was not within the past five years (i.e. Volkswagen experience 2009-2014). Proposed team structure is weak and lumped everyone into every proposed task. Proposed management plan and approach was generic and lacked specific details compared to other offerors.

Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: 90B4D06D74B44462B9F5B8DF2FFFC272

Status: Completed

Subject: Intent to Award - RFQ #63188 On-Call Traffic Engineering Services

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 5

Signatures: 1

Envelope Originator:

Certificate Pages: 2

Initials: 0

Procurement Resource Group

AutoNav: Enabled

730 2nd Ave. South 1st Floor

Envelopeld Stamping: Enabled

Nashville, TN 37219

Time Zone: (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)

prg@nashville.gov

IP Address: 170.190.198.185

Record Tracking

Status: Original

Holder: Procurement Resource Group

Location: DocuSign

2/4/2021 6:53:06 AM

prg@nashville.gov

Signer Events

Signature

Timestamp

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane

michelle.lane@nashville.gov

Chief Procurement Officer/Purchasing Agent
Metro

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication
(None)

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style
Using IP Address: 170.190.198.185

Sent: 2/4/2021 6:57:28 AM

Viewed: 2/4/2021 10:43:14 AM

Signed: 2/4/2021 10:43:19 AM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Not Offered via DocuSign

In Person Signer Events

Signature

Timestamp

Editor Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

Agent Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

Intermediary Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

Certified Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

Carbon Copy Events

Status

Timestamp

Terri L. Ray

Terri.Ray@nashville.gov

Senior Procurement Officer

Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson
County

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication
(None)

COPIED

Sent: 2/4/2021 10:43:20 AM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Not Offered via DocuSign

Witness Events

Signature

Timestamp

Notary Events

Signature

Timestamp

Envelope Summary Events

Status

Timestamps

Envelope Sent

Hashed/Encrypted

2/4/2021 6:57:28 AM

Certified Delivered

Security Checked

2/4/2021 10:43:14 AM

Signing Complete

Security Checked

2/4/2021 10:43:19 AM

Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Completed	Security Checked	2/4/2021 10:43:20 AM
Payment Events	Status	Timestamps